

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q68338

Keizo AKUTAGAWA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/069,588

Group Art Unit: 3616

Confirmation No.: 3867

Examiner: Eric D. CULBRETH

Filed: February 27, 2002

For: VEHICLE CONTROL METHOD AND VEHICLE CONTROL APPARATUS

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on
October 22, 2007:

REMARKS


During the interview, the following was discussed: Ex Parte Quayle Action

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: NONE
2. Identification of claims discussed: Claims 1 and 6
3. Identification of art discussed: NONE
4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: NONE
5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: See accompanying Amendment.
6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: NONE
7. Results of Interview: Examiner agreed that claim 6 is not identical to claim 1.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,



Diallo T. Crenshaw
Registration No. 52,778

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: November 19, 2007